

REMARKS

Applicants' have amended their claims to better clarify their invention. Independent claims 1 and 8 are amended herein to recite an accessor comprising a carriage assembly moveably disposed on a rail system, a vertical pillar extending upwardly from said carriage assembly, a lifting servo section moveably disposed on said vertical pillar. Support can be found in the Specification on Page 6 at Lines 19-21, and in FIG. 2.

Claims 1 and 8 are further amended herein to recite an accessor comprising a memory device disposed on the lifting servo section. Support can be found in the Specification on Page 7 at Lines 14 through 20, and in FIG. 3A which shows memory device 350 disposed on accessor control card 250, and in FIG. 2 which shows accessor control card 250 disposed on lifting servo section 220.

No new matter has been entered. Reexamination and reconsideration of the application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-26 are pending in this application. Claims 14-26 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claims 1-13 stand rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Young et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,018,456).

Claims 4-7, and 12, stand rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al.

"A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of Cal.*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed.Cir. 1987); MPEP 2131.

Moreover, “[t]he identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the . . . claim.” *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed.Cir. 1989).

Young et al. teach an enclosure comprising a plurality of trays containing individual computer peripherals. Col. 2 / Lines 19-33. Young et al. nowhere teach or suggest an accessor comprising a carriage assembly moveably disposed on a rail system, a vertical pillar extending upwardly from the carriage assembly, a lifting servo section moveably disposed on the vertical pillar, as recited by claims 1 and 8, as amended herein. Furthermore, Young et al. nowhere teach or suggest an accessor further comprising a memory device disposed on the lifting servo section, as recited by claims 1 and 8, as amended herein.

Because Young et al. fail to teach all the elements of Applicants’ claims 1 and 8, as amended herein, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 1 and 8 are not anticipated by Young et al. Claims 2-7, as amended herein, depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 1, as amended herein. Under 35 USC Section 112, a dependent claim includes all the elements of the base claim. This being the case, Applicant further respectfully submits that Young et al. neither anticipates, nor renders obvious, any one or more of claims 2-7, as amended herein.

Claims 9-13, as amended herein, depend, directly or indirectly, from claim 8, as amended herein. Under 35 USC Section 112, a dependent claim includes all the elements of the base claim. This being the case, Applicant further respectfully submits that Young et al. neither anticipates, nor renders obvious, any one or more of claims 9-13, as amended herein.

Having dealt with all of the outstanding objections and/or rejections of the claims, Applicants submit that the application as amended is in condition for allowance, and an

allowance at an early date is respectfully solicited. In the event there are any fee deficiencies or additional fees are payable, please charge them, or credit an overpayment, to our Deposit Account No. 502262.

Respectfully submitted,



Dale F. Regelman, Ph.D.
Attorney for Applicants
Reg. No. 45,625

CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC FILING

I hereby certify that on this 26th day of September, 2006, that Amendment A is being filed via the Web Enabled Patent Filing System (EFT-WEB).

By: 